

~~151~~

ON SEMICOMMUTATIVE π -REGULAR RINGS.

Ayman Badawi*

Dept. of Math, Univ. of North Texas, Denton, Texas 76203

Introduction. Let K be a ring with 1 and $a \in K$. If for every $b \in K$ there exist $r, h \in K$ such that $ab = ra$ and $ba = ah$, then a is called semicommutative. If every element of K is semicommutative, then K is called a semicommutative ring. Observe that every commutative ring is semicommutative. Let S be a direct sum of two noncommutative division rings. Then S is an example of a semicommutative ring which is not commutative. The ring K is called π -regular [1] if and only if for every $x \in K$ there exist $n \geq 1$ and $y \in K$ such that $x^n y x^n = x^n$ and unit π -regular ring iff for every $x \in K$ there exist $m \geq 1$ and a unit u of K such that $x^m u x^m = x^m$.

Let R be a semicommutative ring. In this paper, we show that all π -regular rings are unit π -regular rings and the set $\text{Nil}(R)$ of all nilpotent elements of R is a two-sided ideal of R . We show that R is a π -regular ring iff $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is regular. Moreover, we show that if 2 is a unit in a π -regular ring R , then every element of R is a sum of two units in R .

Notations. Let S be a ring with 1. Then

1. $\text{Id}(S)$ denotes the set of all idempotents of S .
2. $\text{Nil}(S)$ denotes the set of all nilpotent elements of S .

* current address: Dept. of Mathematics, Emory and Henry College, Emory, Virginia 24327-0947

3. $U(R)$ denotes the set of all units of S .
4. $C(S)$ denotes the center of S .
5. $J(S)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of S .
6. If $a, b \in S$, then (a, b) denotes the two-sided ideal of S generated by a, b , and $(a, b)S$ denotes the right ideal of S generated by a, b , and $S(a, b)$ denotes the left ideal of S generated by a, b .
7. Let I be an ideal of S and $x \in S$. Then $[x]$ denotes the element $x+I$ in R/I .
8. Let $z \in S$. Suppose for some $x, y \in S$, z is written as a product of powers of x and y . Then we define $E(z, x)$ to be the sum of exponents of x as they appeared in z , and $E(x, y)$ to be the sum of exponents of y as they appeared in z . For example, if $z = x^3 y x^2 y^3$, then $E(z, x) = 5$ and $E(z, y) = 4$.

Through out this paper, the capital letter R denotes a semicommutative ring with 1.

Lemma 1. The set $\text{Nil}(R)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

Proof. Let $x, y \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Then for some $n, m \geq 1$, $x^n = y^m = 0$. Consider the expansion of $(x+y)^{m+n}$. Let z be a term in the expansion. Then $E(z, x) + E(z, y) = n+m$. suppose $E(z, x) = k \geq n$. Since R is semicommutative, for some $d \in R$ we have $z = x^k d = 0$. Suppose $E(z, y) = h < n$. Then $E(z, y) = w \geq m$. Since R is semicommutative, for some $f \in R$ we have $z = y^w f = 0$. Thus $(x+y)^{m+n} = 0$. Let $q \in \text{Nil}(R)$, and $a, b \in R$. Then for some $r \geq 1$, $q^r = 0$. Since R is semicommutative, $(aqb)^r = q^r e = 0$ for some $e \in R$. Thus $\text{Nil}(R)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

Lemma 2. The set $\text{Id}(R)$ is a subset of $C(R)$.

Proof. Let $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and $x \in R$. Then for some $y, z \in R$, $ex = ye$ and $xe = ez$. Hence $exe = ye = ex$ and $exe = ez = xe$. Thus $ex = xe$ and the proof is completed.

Before stating the first major result, the following well-known Lemma is needed.

Lemma 3. Let K be a ring with 1 and I be a two-sided nil ideal of R . If $[c] \in \text{Id}(K/I)$, then there exists $e \in \text{Id}(K)$ such that $[e] = [c]$ in K/I .

Proof. see [9].

Theorem 1. The ring R is π -regular if and only if $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is regular.

Proof. Suppose R is π -regular. Let $[x] \in R/\text{Nil}(R)$. Then for some $y \in R$ and $n \geq 1$, $x^n y x^n = x^n$. Thus $e = x^n y \in \text{Id}(R)$ and therefore $1 - e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Since $1 - e \in C(R)$, $((1 - e)x)^n = (1 - e)x^n = (1 - x^n y)x^n = 0$. Thus $(1 - e)x = (1 - x^n y)x \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Thus $[x][x^{n-1}y][x] = [x^n y][x] = [x]$.

Suppose $K = R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is regular. Let $x \in R$. Then for some $[y] \in K$, $[x][y][x] = [x]$ in K . Thus $[xy] \in \text{Id}(K)$. By Lemma 3, there exists $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ such that $[e] = [xy]$ in K . Thus $[e][x] = [x]$ in K . Hence $[e][x][y] = [xy] = [e]$ in K . Thus for some $w \in \text{Nil}(R)$, $exy - e = w$ and therefore $exy = e + w$ in R . Since $\text{Nil}(R) \subset J(R)$, $v = 1 + w \in U(R)$. Hence $exy + 1 - e = v$. Let u be the multiplicative inverse of v . Then $exyu + (1 - e)u = 1$. Thus $e(exyu + (1 - e)u) = e$. Hence $exyu = e$. Since $[e][x] = [x]$ in K , $(1 - e)x \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Thus for some $m \geq 1$, $((1 - e)x)^m = (1 - e)x^m = 0$. Hence $x^m = ex^m$. Since $exyu = e$, $(exyu)^m = e^m = e$. Since R is semicommutative, for some $d \in R$ we have $(exyu)^m = (ex)^m d = e$. Since $e \in C(R)$, $(ex)^m d = ex^m d = e$. Since $ex^m = x^m$, we have $x^m d x^m = x^m$. Thus R is π -regular.

In the following theorem, we show that if for some ring K with 1 such that $\text{Id}(K) \subset C(K)$ and $x \in K$ is regular, then x is unit regular.

Theorem 2. Let K be a ring with 1 such that $\text{Id}(K) \subset C(K)$ and $x \in K$ is regular, then x is unit regular.

Proof. If $xyx = x$, then $xy, yx \in \text{Id}(K) \subset C(K)$. Hence, $xy = x(yx)y = (xy)(yx) = y(xy)x = yx$. Let $u = x + xy - 1$ and $v = xy + xy^2 - 1$. Since $xy = yx$ and $xyx = x$, we have $uv = vu = x^2 y + x^2 y^2 - x + (xy)^2 + xyxy^2 - xy - xy - xy^2 + 1 = x + xy - x + xy + xy^2 - xy - xy - xy^2 + 1 = 1$. Moreover, $xvx = x^2 yx + x^2 y^2 x - x^2 = x^2 + x - x^2 = x$.

A consequence of the above Theorem is the following Corollary.

Corollary 1. Let K be a π -regular ring with 1 such that $\text{Id}(K) \subset C(K)$. Then K is unit π -regular. In particular, if R is π -regular, then R is unit π -regular.

Proof. Let $x \in K$. Then for some $n \geq 1$, x^n is regular. Hence, by Theorem 2, x^n is unit regular. If R is π -regular, then by Lemma 2 we have $\text{Id}(R) \subset C(R)$ and therefore the claim is evident.

Let $a, b, x \in R$. Since R is semicommutative, $axb = xd = fx$ for some $d, f \in R$. Thus $(a, b) = (a, b)R = R(a, b)$. In particular, if $(a) = R$, then $a \in U(R)$. Also, observe that if $[u] \in U(R/\text{Nil}(R))$, then for some $[v] \in R/\text{Nil}(R)$, $[u][v] = [1]$ and hence $uv = 1 + z$ for some $z \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Since $\text{Nil}(R) \subset J(R)$, $1 + z \in U(R)$ and therefore $(u) = R$. Thus $u \in U(R)$. Hence $[u] \in U(R/\text{Nil}(R))$ if and only if $u \in U(R)$. Hence we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 4. Let $K = R/\text{Nil}(R)$ and $u \in R$. Then $[u] \in U(K)$ if and only if $u \in U(R)$.

The second major result has to do with the structure of a π -regular ring R . We begin with the following Lemma.

Lemma 5. Let K be a unit regular ring (i.e. For every $x \in K$ there exists $u \in U(R)$ such that $xu = x$) and $x \in K$. Then $x = ev$ for some $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ and $v \in U(R)$.

Proof. Let $x \in K$. Then for some $u \in U(K)$, $xu = x$. Thus $xu \in \text{Id}(K)$. Let v be the multiplicative inverse of u in K . Then $x = xuv$ a product of an idempotent and a unit of K .

Theorem 3. The ring R is π -regular if and only if for every $x \in R$ there exist $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, $u \in U(R)$, and $w \in \text{Nil}(R)$ such that $x = eu + w$.

Proof. Suppose R is π -regular. Let $x \in R$. By Theorem 1, $K = R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is regular. Thus K is unit regular by Theorem 2. By Lemma 4, $[x] = [c][u]$ for some $[c] \in \text{Id}(K)$ and $[u] \in U(K)$. By Lemma 3, there exists $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ such that $[e] = [c]$ in K and by Lemma 4 we have

$ue \in U(R)$. Thus $[x] = [e][u]$ implying $x - eu = w$ for some $w \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Hence $x = eu + w$.

Let $x \in R$ such that $x = eu + w$ for some $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, $u \in U(R)$, and $w \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Then for some $n \geq 1$, $w^n = 0$. Consider the expansion of $x^n = (eu + w)^n$. Observe that $(eu)^n = eu^n$ since $e \in C(R)$ and that the sum of the other terms are in $\text{Nil}(R)$ and of the form ed , $d \in R$, by semicommutativity. But $ed = e(ed)$, so replacing d by ed we can assume $d \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Hence $x^n = (eu + w)^n = eu^n + ed$ for some $d \in \text{Nil}(R)$. But $u^n d = v \in U(R)$. Hence $x^n = ev$. Let r be the multiplicative inverse of v in R . Then $x^n r x^n = x^n$.

Example 1. Let K be a Principal Ideal Domain with 1, and m be a nonzero nonunit element of K . Then $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$. Where the α_i 's ≥ 1 and the p_i 's are distinct primes in K . Let $S = K/(m)$ and $I = (p_1 p_2 \dots p_n)$ an ideal of K . Then $\text{Nil}(S) = I/(m)$. Thus $S/\text{Nil}(S) \approx K/I$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of fields. Hence $S/\text{Nil}(S)$ is regular. Thus S is π -regular.

Example 2. Let R be a semicommutative Artinian ring. Since $J(R)$ is nilpotent and $\text{Nil}(R)$ is an ideal of R , $\text{Nil}(R) = J(R)$. We know that $R/\text{Nil}(R) = R/J(R)$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of simple rings. But it is easy to see that a semicommutative simple ring is a division ring. Thus $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of division rings. Thus $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ is regular and therefore R is π -regular.

Example 3. Let R be a finite semicommutative ring. Then R is Artinian and hence it is π -regular. In particular, all finite commutative rings are π -regular ring.

Related Results.

A ring K is called $(s, 2)$ -ring [8] if every element in K is a sum of two units of K .

The following Theorem gives a characterization of all semicommutative $(s,2)$ -rings.

Theorem 4. A π -regular ring R is $(s,2)$ -ring if and only if every element in $\text{Id}(R)$ is a sum of two units of R .

Proof. Suppose every element in $\text{Id}(R)$ is a sum of two units of R . Let $x \in R$. Since R is π -regular, $x = eu + w$ for some $e \in \text{Id}(R)$, $u \in U(R)$, and $w \in \text{Nil}(R)$ by Theorem 3. Since $e = v + r$ for some $v, r \in U(R)$, $x = vu + ru + w$. Since $w \in J(R)$ and $ru \in U(R)$, $ru + w \in U(R)$. Thus x is a sum of two units of R . The second direction is obvious.

In [4], it has been shown that if K is a π -regular ring whose primitive factor rings are Artinian, and which does not have $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as a homomorphic image, then every element of K is a sum of two units in K . However, for a semicommutative π -regular ring R , we give an alternative proof of this fact.

Corollary 2. Let R be a π -regular ring such that $2 = (1+1) \in U(R)$. Then every element of R is a sum of two units in R .

Proof. Let $e \in \text{Id}(R)$. Since $(1-2e)(1-2e) = 1$, $1-2e \in U(R)$. Since $2 \in U(R)$, e is a sum of two units. Hence by Theorem 4 the claim is clear.

Acknowledgment.

The author wishes to thank Prof. Nick Vaughan for a helpful communication.

References.

1. Chacron, M. "On Algebraic Rings", Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 1(1969), 385-389.
2. Ehrlich, Gertrude, "Unit Regular Rings", Portugal. Math. 27 (1968) 209-212.
3. Ehrlich, Gertrude, "Units and One-sided Units in Regular Rings", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 216 (1976), 81-90.

4. Fisher, Joe W.; Snider, Robert L., "Rings Generated by Their Units", J. Algebra 42(1976), no.2, 363-368.
5. Fisher, Joe W.; Snider, Robert L., "On The Von Neumann Regularity of Rings with Regular Prime Factor Rings", Pac. J. Math. 54 (1974) 135-144.
6. Goodearl K R, "Von Neumann Regular Rings", Pitman Publishing Limited (1979).
7. Henriksen, Melvin, "two Classes of Rings That are Elementary Divisor Rings", Arch. Math. (Basel) 24 (1973), 133-141.
8. Henriksen, Melvin, "Two Classes of Rings that Are Generated By Their Units", J. Algebra 31 (1974), 182-193.
9. Lam T. Y., "A first Course in Noncommutative Rings", Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc. (1991).

Received: October 1992

Revised: January 1993